#### United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit Thurgood Marshall U.S. Courthouse **40 Foley Square** New York, NY 10007

ROBERT A. KATZMANN

CHIEF JUDGE

Date: August 06, 2018 Docket #: 18-1248cv

Short Title: Lue v. JPMorgan Chase & Co.

CATHERINE O'HAGAN WOLFE

CLERK OF COURT

DC Docket #: 16-cv-3207

DC Court: SDNY (NEW YORK

CITY)

DC Judge: Gorenstein DC Judge: Nathan

#### NOTICE OF DEFECTIVE FILING

On August 1, 2018 the brief and appendix, on behalf of the Appellant, was submitted in the

above referenced case. The document does not comply with the FRAP or the Court's Local Rule
for the following reason(s):
Failure to submit acknowledgment and notice of appearance (Local Rule 12.3)  Failure to file the Record on Appeal (FRAP 10, FRAP 11)  Missing motion information statement (T-1080 - Local Rule 27.1)  Missing supporting papers for motion (e.g, affidavit/affirmation/declaration) (FRAP 27)  Insufficient number of copies (Local Rules: 21.1, 27.1, 30.1, 31.1)  Improper proof of service (FRAP 25)
Missing proof of service
Served to an incorrect address
Incomplete service (Anders v. California 386 U.S. 738 (1967))
Failure to submit document in digital format (Local Rule 25.1)
Not Text-Searchable (Local Rule 25.1, Local Rules 25.2), click here
for instructions on how to make PDFs text searchable
Failure to file appendix on CD-ROM (Local Rule 25.1, Local Rules 25.2)
Failure to file special appendix (Local Rule 32.1)
Defective cover (FRAP 32)
Incorrect caption (FRAP 32)
Wrong color cover (FRAP 32)
Docket number font too small (Local Rule 32.1)
Incorrect pagination, click here for instructions on how to paginate PDFs
(Local Rule 32.1)
Incorrect font (FRAP 32)
Oversized filing (FRAP 27 (motion), FRAP 32 (brief))
Missing Amicus Curiae filing or motion (Local Rule 29.1)

Unt	mely filing
Inco	rrect Filing Event
X Otl	er: The brief and appendix must be bound. See FRAP32. The appendix must
contain seq	iential numbering. See LR32.1.

Please cure the defect(s) and resubmit the document, with the required copies if necessary, no later than August 27, 2018. The resubmitted documents, if compliant with FRAP and the Local Rules, will be deemed timely filed.

Failure to cure the defect(s) by the date set forth above will result in the document being stricken. An appellant's failure to cure a defective filing may result in the dismissal of the appeal.

Inquiries regarding this case may be directed to 212-857-8612.

#### **Candice Lue**

#### Info@CandiceLue.com

August 10, 2018

Clerk's Office United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit Thurgood Marshall U.S. Courthouse 40 Foley Square New York, New York 10007

Re: Notice of Defective Filing – Candice Lue, Appellant – Docket Number: 18 - 1248

To Whom It May Concern:

I am in receipt of your Notice of Defective Filing which the reason states "Other: The brief and appendix must be bound. See FRAP 32. The appendix must contain sequential numbering. See LR32.1."

However, FRAP 32(a)(3) Binding states: "The brief must be bound in any manner that is secure, does not obscure the text, and permits the brief to lie reasonably flat when open" and, both my Brief and Appendix were submitted to the Court perfectly secured with an appropriate binder clip that does not obscure the text and permits the Brief and the Appendix to lie flat when open. Also, please be advised that this is the same binding mechanism that I previously used to secure the two Writs of Mandamus I submitted to this Court without any issue (Please see Docket Number: 16–3873 and Docket Number: 17–2751).

In addition, as it relates to a Cover for the Brief and Appendix, FRAP 32(a)(2) makes it explicitly clear that "Cover" does not apply to *pro se* appellants: "*Except for filings by unrepresented parties*, the cover of the appellant's brief must be...."

With regards to "sequential numbering", 32.1(b)(3) Sequential Numbering states: "The pages of an appendix must contain sequential numbering. A Filing User must adjust the PDF of the appendix to recognize the Filing User's sequential numbering scheme in the PDF's page search field. The pages of an appendix may be printed on both sides". Please note that as a "paper filer" my Appendix was not submitted in PDF format so sequential numbering as it relates "to recogniz[ing] the Filing User's sequential numbering scheme in the PDF's page search field" is not possible for me to do from my end. However, I did number the pages so that ALL 242 pages

#### **Candice Lue**

#### Info@CandiceLue.com

of the Appendix are accounted for (please see the numbering under "Page" on the right hand side of the Appendix's Table of Contents pages) with all the cover pages for the items listed in the said Table of Contents sequentially numbered (please see the first numbers in the numbering sequence under "Page" on the right hand side of the Appendix's Table of Contents pages).

Please be advised that the pages in between the sequentially numbered cover pages of the Appendix represent photocopies of documents found in the record and printed judicial or agency decision pursuant to 32(b)(2) which states: "An appendix may include a legible photocopy of any document found in the record or of a printed judicial or agency decision".

These photocopied documents which in most cases have multiple pages (up to 25 or even more) were pre-numbered so I individually counted each of these pre-numbered pages and sequentially numbered the cover pages for each item in the Appendix ignoring the pre-numbering on the said photocopied documents (please see the numbering under "Page" on the right hand side of the Appendix's Table of Contents. The first number represents the sequential numbering of the item's cover page). With that said, when the Appendix is scanned by your office, the PDF will "recognize the sequential numbering scheme in the PDF's page search field" as again, ALL 242 pages of the Appendix are accounted for and the numbering of the cover pages of each of the items in the Appendix's Table of Contents is "adjusted" accordingly.

In closing, I just want to reiterate that I am a *pro se* "paper filer" and as a paper filer, the afore-stated is the best that I can do to accommodate the Court. In conjunction, under Second Circuit Law, a *pro se* litigant is afforded "special solicitude" - *Graham v. Lewinski*, 848 F. 2d 342, 344 (2d Cir. 1988) and, a *pro se* plaintiff is entitled to have her pleadings held to "less stringent standards than formal pleadings drafted by lawyers" - *Haines v. Kerner*, 404 U.S. 519, 520 (1971).

I look forward to a prompt response from you.

Respectfully,

Candice Lue

Attachment: Copy of Notice of Defective Filing

Certificate of Mailing

## IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SECOND CIRCUIT

CANDICE LUE, Pro Se Plaintiff - Appellant,

V.

JPMORGAN CHASE & CO., a Delaware Corporation; ALEX KHAVIN, an individual; FIDELIA SHILLINGFORD, an individual; JOHN VEGA, an individual; HELEN DUBOWY, an individual; PHILIPPE QUIX, an individual; THOMAS POZ, an individual; CHRIS LIASIS, an individual; MICHELLE SULLIVAN, an individual; and Does 1 – 10, inclusive, Defendants - Appellees.

# ON APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK Civil Action No.: 16 CV 3207 Judge Alison J. Nathan

#### APPENDIX OF APPELLANT CANDICE LUE

Candice Lue, Pro Se

### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<u>Pa</u>	<u>ge</u>
1.	District Court Docket Sheet	29
2.	Order Being Appealed	50
3.	Judgment51 - 5	52
4.	Notice of Appeal	54
5.	Unsupported Finding or Conclusion and Correction or Modification of the Record - Rules 10(B)(2) & 10(E)(2)(C) (Second Circuit Court Docket Nos. 10 & 11)	59
6.	Appeals Court Docket Sheet. 60 - 6	55
7.	Civil Action No.: 16 CV 3207 – PacerMonitor.com Audit Trail Stricken Docket Nos. 106-112 & 114-118	58
8.	Defendants' Notice of Motion for Summary Judgment (showing the names of all <b>nine (9)</b> Defendants)	71
9.	Notice of Opposition to Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment (showing the names of all <b>nine (9)</b> Defendants)	74
10	. Request for Subpoena/Issued Subpoena	77
11	. Judge Alison J. Nathan's Special Rules of Practice in Civil Pro Se Cases & Individual Practices in Civil Cases	33
12	. Judge Alison J. Nathan's Color-Coded Memorandum Opinion & Order in Correlation with "Argument # 2"	)4
13	. Defendants' August 1, 2017 Letter Motion via email	12
14	Response to Judge Alison J. Nathan's Order of  August 11, 2017 (DCD # 121)	32

15.	Addendum to Response to Judge Alison J. Nathan's Order of August 11, 2017 (DCD # 124)	133 - 158
16.	Response to Judge Alison J. Nathan's Order of October 31, 2017 (DCD # 129 – pgs. 8-13)	.159 - 166
17.	Response to Judge Alison J. Nathan's Order of November 20, 2017 (DCD # 132 – pgs. 6-12)	.167 - 175
18.	Response to Judge Alison J. Nathan's Order of December 4, 2017 (DCD # 135)	176 - 218
19.	Response to Judge Alison J. Nathan's Order of December 4, 2017 (DCD # 136 – pgs. 9-16)	219 - 228
20.	Examples of Other Judges' Instructions in Their Orders that Involve Multiple Parties.	.229 - 233
	Judicial Misconduct Complaint against Judge Alison J. Nathan (without attachments)	.234 - 242